

Last Revised, 4 March 2006

**BIOEQUIVALENCE BIBLIOGRAPHY
1954-Present**

1. Hodges, J. L. & E. L. Lehman. (1954) Testing the approximate validity of statistical hypotheses. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B.* 16(2) 261-268.
2. Westlake, W.J. (1976) Symmetrical confidence intervals for bioequivalence trials. *Biometrics*, 32: 741-744.
3. Dunnett, C. W. & M. Gent. (1977) Significance testing to establish equivalence between treatments, with special reference to data in the form of 2×2 tables. *Biometrics* 33: 593-602.
4. Westlake, W.J. (1979) Statistical aspects of comparative bioavailability trials. *Biometrics*, 35: 273-280.
5. Kirkwood, T.B.L. (1981) Bioequivalence testing—a need to rethink. *Biometrics*, 37: 589-594.
6. Mandallaz, D. and J. Mau. (1981) Comparison of different methods for decision-making in bioequivalence assessment. *Biometrics*, 37: 213-222.
7. Anderson, S. and W.W. Hauck. (1983) A new procedure for testing equivalence in comparative bioavailability and other clinical trials. *Communications Statistics, Theory, and Methods*, 12(23): 2663-2692.
8. Patel, H.I. and G.D. Gupta. (1984) A problem of equivalence in clinical trials. *Biometrical Journal*, 26(5): 471-474.
9. Rocke, D.M. (1984) On testing for bioequivalence. *Biometrics*, 40: 225-230.
10. Bross, I.D. (1985) Why proof of safety is much more difficult than proof of hazard. *Biometrics*, 41: 785-793.
11. McClure, J.P., J. Anderson, and H.T. Schreuder. (1987) A comparison of regional and site-specific volume estimation equations. *USDA Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station, Research Paper SE-264*, 9 pages.
12. Millard, S.P. (1987) Proof of Safety vs. Proof of Hazard. *Biometrics*, 43: 719-725.
13. Schuirmann, D.J. (1987) A comparison of the two one-sided tests procedure and the power approach for assessing the equivalence of average bioavailability. *Journal of Pharmacokinetics and Biopharmaceutics*, 15(6): 657-680.
14. Johnson, R. (1988). *P*-values in 2×2 tables. *Biometrics* 44: 907-910. (includes reply by Dunnett and Gent).

15. Chow, S.-C. and J. Shao. (1990) An alternative approach for the assessment of bioequivalence between two formulations of a drug. *Biometrical Journal*, 32(3): 969-976.
16. Chow, S.-C. and J.-P. Liu. (1990) Design and analysis of bioavailability and bioequivalence studies. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
17. Hsuan, F.C. (1993) Estimating treatment means in a mixed-effort ANOVA model for bioequivalence studies. *Biometrics*, 49(3): 703-713.
18. Rogers, J.L., K.I. Howard, and J.T. Vessey. (1993) Using significance tests to evaluate equivalence between two experimental groups. *Psychological Bulletin*, 113(3): 553-565.
19. Frick, H. (1994) On approximate and exact sample sizes of equivalence tests for binomial proportions. *Biometrical Journal*, 36(7): 841-854.
20. Huh, M.-H. (1994) Equivalence testing as an alternative to significance testing. *Journal of Korean Statistical Society*, 23(1): 199-206.
21. McDonald, L.L. and W.P. Erickson. (1994) Testing for bioequivalence in field studies: Has a disturbed site been adequately reclaimed? *Statistics in Ecology and Environmental Monitoring*, pages 183-197.
22. Erickson, W.P. and L.L. McDonald. (1995) Tests for bioequivalence of control media and test media in studies of toxicity. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 14(7): 1247-1256.
23. Berger, R.L. and J.C. Hsu. (1996) Bioequivalence trials, intersection-union tests and equivalence confidence sets. *Statistical Science*, 11(4): 283-319.
24. Dixon, P.M. (1998) 12: Assessing effect and no effect with equivalence tests. In *Risk Assessment: Logic and Measurement*, M.C. Newman and C.L. Strojan eds., Ann Arbor Press, Chelsea, Michigan, pages 275-301.
25. Lindley, D.V. (1998) Decision analysis and bioequivalence trials. *Statistical Science*, 13(2): 136-141.
26. Krantz, D.H. (1999) The null hypothesis testing controversy in psychology. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 94: 1372-1381.
27. McBride, G.B. (1999) Equivalence tests can enhance environmental science and management. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Statistics*, 41(1): 19-29.

28. Murphy, K. R. & B. Myors. (1999) Testing the hypothesis that treatments have negligible effects: minimum-effect tests in the general linear model. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 84(2) 234-248.
29. Munk, A. & R. Pflüger. (1999) $1-\alpha$ equivariant confidence rules for convex alternatives are $\alpha/2$ -level tests – with applications to the multivariate assessment of bioequivalence. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 94: 1311-1319.
30. Perlman, M.D. and L. Wu. (1999) The Emperor's new tests. *Statistical Science*, 14(4): 355-381.
31. Anderson, D.R., K.P. Burnham, and W.L. Thompson. (2000) Null hypothesis testing: problems, prevalence, and an alternative. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 64(4): 912-923.
32. Gould, A.L. (2000) A practical approach for evaluating population and individual bioequivalence. *Statistics in Medicine*, 19: 2721-2740.
33. Kimanani, E.K. (2000) Definition of individual bioequivalence: occasion-to-occasion versus mean switchability. *Statistics in Medicine*, 19: 2797-2810.
34. Munk, A. (2000) Connections between average and individual bioequivalence. *Statistical Medicine*, 19: 2843-2854.
35. Shao, J., S.-C. Chow, and B. Wang. (2000) The bootstrap procedure in individual bioequivalence. *Statistics in Medicine*, 19: 2741-2754.
36. Zariffa, N. M.-D., S.D. Patterson, D. Boyle, and M. Hynek. (2000) Case studies, practical issues and observing population and individual bioequivalence. *Statistics in Medicine*, 19: 2811-2820.
37. Anderson, D.R., W.A. Link, D.H. Johnson, K.P. Burnham. (2001) Suggestions for presenting the results of data analyses. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 65(3): 373-378.
38. Barker, L., H. Rolka, D. Rolka, and C. Brown. (2001) Equivalence testing for binomial random variables: which test to use? *The American Statistician*, 55(4): 279-287.
39. Burnham, K.P. and D.R. Anderson. (2001) Kullback-Leibler information as a basis for strong inference in ecological studies. *Wildlife Research*, 28: 111-119.
40. Hoenig, J. M. & D. M. Heisey. (2001) The abuse of power: the pervasive fallacy of power calculations for data analysis. *The American Statistician*. 55(1) 1-6.
41. Lenth, R.V. (2001) Some practical guidelines for effective sample size determination. *The American Statistician*, 55(3): 187-193.

42. Parkhurst, D.F. (2001) Statistical significance tests: equivalence and reverse tests should reduce misinterpretation. *BioScience*, 51(12):1051-1057.
43. Quan, H., J. Bolognese, and W. Yuan. (2001) Assessment of equivalence on multiple endpoints. *Statistics in Medicine*, 20: 3259-3173.
44. Thompson, B. (2001) Significance, effect sizes, stepwise methods, and other issues: Strong arguments move the field. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 70(1): 80-93.
45. Andres, A.M. and I.H. Tejedor. (2002) Barker, L., Rolka, H., Rolka, D., and Brown, C. (2001), 'Equivalence testing for binomial random variables: which test to use?' *The American Statistician*, 55, 279-287: Comment by Martin Andres and Herrand Tejedor and reply. *The American Statistician*, 56(3): 253.
46. Blair, R.C. and S.R. Cole. (2002) Two-sided equivalence testing of the difference between two means. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, 1(1): 139-142.
47. Lin, L., A. S. Hedayat, B. Sinha, & M. Yang. (2002) Statistical methods in assessing agreement: models, issues, and tools. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 97: 257-270.
48. Sawilowsky, S. S. and J. S. Yoon (2002) The trouble with trivials ($p > .05$), *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, 1(1): 143-144.
49. Wu, J., G. Jiang, A.C.M. Wong, and X. Sun. (2002) Likelihood analysis for the ratio of means of two independent log-normal distributions. *Biometrics*, 58: 463-469.
50. Mecklin, C.J. (2003) A comparison of equivalence testing in combination with hypothesis testing and effect sizes. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, 2(2): 329-340.
51. Wellek, S. (2003). *Testing Statistical Hypotheses of Equivalence*. Boca Raton: Chapman & Hall/CRC. 284 pp.
52. Andrés, A. M. and I. H. Tejedor. (2004) The equivalence of two proportions revisited. *Journal of Applied Statistics* 31(1) 61-72.
53. Bickel, D. R. (2004) Degrees of differential gene expression: detecting biologically significant expression differences and estimating their magnitudes. *Bioinformatics* 20(5) 682-688.
54. Manly, B. F. (2004) One-sided tests of bioequivalence with nonnormal distributions and unequal variances. *Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics* 9(3) 270-283.
55. Robinson, A.P. and R.E. Froese. (2004) Model validation using equivalence tests. *Ecological Modelling* 176: 349-358.

56. Robinson, A. P., R. A. Duursma, & J. D. Marshall. (2005) A regression-based equivalence test for model validation: shifting the burden of proof. *Tree Physiology* 25: 903-913.
57. Moerkerke, B., E. Goetghebeur, J DeRiek, and I. Roldán-Ruiz. (2006) Significance and impotence: towards a balanced view of the null and alternative hypotheses in marker selection for plant breeding.