

Last revised March 2009

**Bibliography**  
**Weighted Distribution, Size-biased sampling & Selection bias**  
**(37 entries)**

1. Cox, D.R. 1969. Some sampling problems in technology. In New Developments in Survey Sampling (1969). N. L. Johnson and H. Smith (eds.). Wiley Interscience, NY 732 pp
2. Cook, R.D. and Martin, F.B. 1974. A model for quadrant sampling with “Visibility Bias”. *Journal of the American Association* 69(345): 345 - 349
3. Patil, G.P. and Ord, J.K. 1976. On size-biased sampling and related form-invariant weighted distributions. *The Indian Journal of Statistics* 38: 48 – 61
4. Maddala, G.S. 1977. Self-Selectivity problems in econometric models. *Application of Statistics*: 351 – 366
5. Patil, G.P. and Rao, C.R. 1977. The weighted distributions: a survey of their applications. *Applications of Statistics*: 383 – 405
6. Rao, C.R. 1977. A natural example of a weighted binomial distribution. *The American Statistician* 31(1): 24 – 26
7. Patil, G.P. and Rao, C.R. 1978. Weighted distributions and size-biased sampling with applications to Wildlife populations and human families. *Biometrics* 34(2): 179 – 189
8. Heckman, J.J. 1979. Sample selection bias as specification error. *Econometrica* 47(1): 153 – 161
9. Olsen, R.J. 1980. Notes and Comments: A least squares correction for selectivity bias. *Econometrica* 48 (7): 1815 – 1820
10. Greene, W.H. 1981. Notes and comments sample selection bias as a specification error: comment. *Econometrica* 49(3): 795 – 798
11. Patil G.P. 1981. Studies in statistical ecology involving weighted distributions. In Proceedings of the Indian Statistical Institute Golden Jubilee International Conference on Statistics: Applications and New Directions, Calcutta, 16 December 1981, pp. 478 – 503
12. Mahfoud, M. and Patil, G.P. 1982. Size-biased sampling, weighted distributions, and Bayesian estimation. In Statistics in theory and Practice; Essays in honour of Bertil Matern, Ranneby, B. (ed.). Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences.
13. Little, R.J.A. 1985. A note about models for selectivity bias. *Econometrica* 53(6): 1469 – 1474

14. Rao, C.R. 1985. Weighted distributions arising out of methods of ascertainment: What population does a sample represent. In the Celebration of Statistics (1985, A.C. Atkinson and S.E. Feinberg, eds.) Springer-Verlag, NY 606 pp.
15. Van Deusen, P.C. 1986. Fitting assumed distributions to horizontal point sample diameters. *Forest Science* 32(1): 146 – 148
16. Drummer, T.D. and McDonald, L.L. 1987. Size bias in line transect sampling. *Biometrics* 43: 13-21
17. Lappi, J. and Bailey, R.L. 1987. Estimation of the diameter increment function or other tree relations using angle-count samples. *Forest Science* 33(3): 725 – 739
18. Lynch, T.B. 1987. On estimation of radial increment by diameter class using horizontal point sampling data. IUFRO Minneapolis meeting.
19. Patil G.P. *et al* 1987. Weighted Distributions. Technical Report no. 87-0401, Center for Statistical Ecology and Environmental Statistics.
20. Bernard, J.E. and Scott, C.T. 1988. Changes in tree growth rates in Vermont. Research Note SE – 350, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station, USDA – Forest Service.
21. Chambers, R.L. 1988. Design-adjusted Regression with selectivity bias. *Applied Statistics* 37(3): 323 – 334
22. Iyengar, S. and Greenhouse, J.B. 1988. Selection models and the file drawer problem. *Statistical Science* 3(1): 109 – 135
23. Manski, C.F. 1988. Identification of binary response models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 83(403): 729 – 738
24. Patil 1988. Weighted Distribution. Lecture Notes.
25. Bickel, P.J. *et al* 1989. Nonparametric inference under biased sampling from a finite population. Technical Report No. 218, Department of Statistics, University of California.
26. Jones, M.C. 1990. The relationship between moments of truncated and original distributions plus some other simple structural properties of weighted distributions. *Metrika* 37: 233 – 243
27. Kocherlakota, S. and Kocherlakota, K. 1990. Test of hypotheses for the weighted binomial distribution. *Biometrics* 46: 645 – 656
28. Muttlak, H.A. and McDonald, L.L. 1990. Ranked set sampling with size-biased probability of selection. *Biometrics*: 435 – 444

29. Gove, J.H. and Patil, G.P. 1998. Modeling the basal area-size distribution of forest stands: a compatible approach. *Forest Science* 44(2): 287 – 297
30. Gove, J.H. 1998. Manuscript #98-02-04, “ Some observations on fitting assumed distributions to horizontal point sampling data”. *Forest Science*
31. Lyengar, S. *et al* 1998. Fisher information in weighted distributions. *Canadian Journal of Statistics* 27: 833 – 842
32. Gove, J.H. 2000. Some observations of fitting assumed diameter distributions to horizontal point sampling data. *Can. J. For. Res.* 30: 521 – 533
33. Sansgiry, P. and Akman, O. 2000. Transformations of the lognormal distribution as a selection model. *The American Statistician* 54(4): 1 – 3
34. Asgharian, M. *et al* 2002. Length-biased sampling with right censoring: An unconditional approach. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 97(457): 201 –
35. Gove, J.H. 2003. Moment and maximum likelihood estimators for Weibull distributions under length-and area-biased sampling. *Environmental and Ecological Statistics* 10: 455 – 467
36. Gove, J.H. 2003. Estimation and applications of size-biased distributions in forestry. *Modeling Forest Systems*: 201 – 211
37. Kvam, P. 2008. Length bias in the measurements of Carbon Nanotubes. *Technometrics* 50(4): 462 - 467